

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	THOI WAND HATCHION		
10/549,913	06/09/2006	Svein Erling Johnstad	2005_1396A	4789
	7590 11/27/200 I, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W.			PATIDAR, JAY M	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2862	
				<u> </u>
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		914			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/549,913	JOHNSTAD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jay M. Patidar	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>32</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,18,20-24,27,28,30 and 33-36</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,25,26,29 and 31</u> is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration. is/are rejected. ed to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 2005  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	5 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/05.     </li> </ul>	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:
  - N, S1, S2, R3, F3 (see abstract, claim 17); 74 (claim 26); A2 (cl.29).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 50,2o,A2,P<sub>||</sub>,P<sub>⊥</sub>. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter as set forth in claims 18,26; and toroidal antenna structure/mounting as set forth in claims 21-24 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. Claims 17-19, 25-27, 29-32, 34-35 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Application/Control Number:

10/549,913 Art Unit: 2862

In claim 17, the phrase "exit angle nearer to normal N" is vague since it is not clearly defined;

In claim 18, "an electrically conductive string" should be ---said electrically conductive string---; the term "e.g." is indefinite;

Claim 34 is duplicate of claim 21, both claims depend on claim 18;

In claims 27,35, "frequencies" does not make sense;

In claim 30, there is no antecedent basis for "apparent horizontal speed" at line 1; Also, it is not clearly defined;

In claim 19, line 2, "is used" should be deleted; "electrodes" should be --two electrodes---;

In claim 25, the phrase "for integrating..." is not clear;

In claim 26, "a propagating EM signal" should be ---propagating said EM signals---;

In claim 29, the scope of the claim is not clear from the language of the claim; "strong apparent horizontal speed" is not defined; what the strong speed is; "as registered along" is vague; "possible" is not positively defined;

In claim 31, it is unclear as to what the strong amplitude is; "as registered along" is vague; "possible" is not positively defined;

Application/Control Number:

10/549,913 Art Unit: 2862

In claim 32, the higher and lower resistivity formation (2,3) are reversed in this claim thus the scope is unclear. "formation" at line 16 should be ---petroleum-bearing formation---.

Appropriate correction is required.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 17-18, 20-24, 27-28, 30, 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ellingsrud et al. (6,859,038).

Ellingsrud discloses a method of monitoring a reservoir rock formation wherein the transmitter (dipole antenna or coil) is used to transmit electromagnetic signal propagating from near a seafloor or surface and the electromagnetic signal propagating from the seafloor to formation as a guided wave EM signal along the well and further inside the formation. Ellingsrud discloses that the transmitter may be located in the existing well. Thus, the

electromagnetic signal would propagate along the conductive string (note fig. 2, 43a). Ellingsrud also discloses steeply refracted waves e.g. 43c. These are the inherent properties disclosed in Ellingsrud. Ellingsrud also teaches to use a plurality of receivers. (note col. 2, lines 65 - col. 3, lines 1-12, figs. 1-2, note whole documents). The transmission frequency is between 0.1Hz and 1 KHz.

- 7. Claims 19, 25-26, 29, 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 32 is allowed.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jay M. Patidar whose telephone number is 571-272-2265. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:00-5:30.

10/549,913

Art Unit: 2862

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

> /Jay M. Patidar/ Jay M. Patidar **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2862

Email: Jay.Patidar@USPTO.gov

November 19, 2007